Maastricht University

[redacted] 5.1 lid 2 e
[redacted], *The Hebrew University of Jerusalem*
[redacted]

Executive Board

your reference	*our reference*	*direct line*	*Maastricht*
	C25.02571-MD	[redacted] 5.1 lid 2 e	31-10-2025

Subject: Partnership The Hebrew University of Jerusalem – Maastricht University

Dear [redacted] 5.1 lid 2 e

In the past few months, I have been in contact with you and your colleagues about our university reviewing a number of its institutional strategic partnerships in the context of a human rights due diligence assessment. This includes, among others, the student exchange collaboration between the Hebrew University of Jerusalem (HUJI) and Maastricht University (UM). These reviews are conducted by our independent Human Rights Advisory Committee (hereafter Committee).

The Committee has recently submitted its final assessment and recommendations to the Executive Board regarding the collaboration between our universities. They also reflected upon the review you provided on their analysis and recommendations, which I sent you a few weeks ago.

In deciding how to follow-up, we have taken into account the recommendations of the Committee, your reply to their draft report and our latest conversation on their findings. As much as I have appreciated the constructive and open dialogue we have had during our conversations, I have to inform you that, regrettably, at this moment, the Executive Board, after consultation with our deans, decides to discontinue the Student Exchange Agreement between our two universities.

I enclose with this letter the Committee's final advice and the grounds on which the Executive Board's decision is based upon. These documents will be publicly available.

As much as I understand the effect this decision may have on your university and its community and on our relationship, I do hope we can continue our conversation in the future. I also would like to reiterate, as I have also explained when presenting the assessment framework to you, that suspending our strategic cooperation does not curtail collaboration and knowledge exchange between individual UM researchers and their international colleagues. Such academic freedom is essential.

[redacted] 5.1 lid 2 e
[redacted]
[redacted]

Postal address
P.O. Box 616
6200 MD Maastricht
The Netherlands

[redacted]
[redacted]

[redacted]
KvK nr.: 50169181

Maastricht University

Our university places great value on ongoing collaboration between individual researchers, including those affiliated with partner institutions with which we are severing strategic ties at board level.

Yours sincerely,

on behalf of the Executive Board
of Maastricht University
5.1 lid 2 e

Prof.dr. R. Letschert
President

Maastricht University

[redacted] 5.1 lid 2 e
[redacted] *Rabin Medical Center*
[redacted]
[redacted]
Israel

Executive Board

your reference	*our reference*	*direct line*	*Maastricht*
	C25.02573-MD	[redacted] 5.1 lid 2 e	31-10-2025

Subject: Partnership Rabin Medical Center – Maastricht University

Dear [redacted] 5.1 lid 2 e

I am writing to inform you of the outcome of the review carried out by the Human Rights Assessment Committee at Maastricht University, concerning the partnership between our institutions.

As you know, there has been regular contact between our institutions throughout this process, including the sharing of a draft advice from the Committee. Upon further analysis, it became clear to us that this partnership is not an institutional strategic partnership. Instead, it concerns an individual academic collaboration. According to our Framework, individual academic collaborations fall outside the scope of assessment by the Committee.

As a result, the Committee's analysis regarding Rabin Medical Center will not be included in its final advisory report, and the Executive Board of Maastricht University will therefore not take a formal decision on this collaboration. This means that our existing cooperation may continue as normal.

The time it has taken to reach this conclusion relates to the fact that the Committee is currently operating in a pilot phase, during which we continue to learn and parts of the scope and procedures are still being refined. We appreciate your understanding during this process.

Please consider this letter as the formal conclusion of the review process with regard to this collaboration.

I can imagine you may have questions regarding the process which you would like to discuss in a video call. Please let me know if this is the case and I will make sure we can meet at short notice.

Yours sincerely,

[redacted] 5.1 lid 2 e utive Board of Maastricht University,

Prof.dr. R. Letschert
President

[redacted] 5.1 lid 2 e
[redacted]
[redacted]

Postal address
P.O. Box 616
6200 MD Maastricht
The Netherlands

[redacted]
[redacted]

www.maastrichtuniversity.nl

KvK nr.: 50169181

Maastricht University

[redacted] 5.1 lid 2 e
Simon Wiesenthal Center
[redacted]

Executive Board

your reference	*our reference*	*direct line*	*Maastricht*
	C25.02810_RB-MD		03 11 2025

Subject: Maastricht University Human Rights Due Diligence Framework

Dear [redacted] 5.1 lid 2 e

Thank you for your letter of 20 October 2025 and for sharing your concerns. We appreciate your engagement and the opportunity to clarify the background on this matter.

According to our Global Engagement Policy, Maastricht University (UM) encourages global engagement in teaching, research and capacity and community building as international collaboration is crucial for the quality of our research and teaching and for making a positive contribution to the world we live in. In these collaborations, it is imperative that our standards related to academic freedom and scientific integrity are upheld and that potential ethical and knowledge security risks are mitigated. Regarding human rights violations or large-scale violence, our principles are clear: we do not want our education, research or collaborations to contribute to these violations in any way.

UM's Human Rights Due Diligence (HRDD) assessment framework and tool have been developed to help us make an informed assessment of our institutional strategic partners' involvement in international crimes and/or serious human rights violations.

In the course of 2025, a Human Right Advisory Committee (HRAC) used the HRDD assessment framework to assess UM's institutional strategic partnerships in Israel/Palestine buiten scope based on the fact that these countries' human rights situations are highlighted as worrisome in the *Today's Armed Conflicts Index* of the Geneva Academy, and on the fact that UM has an institutional strategic partnership there.

Recently, the assessment committee delivered its preliminary advice on Israel (where UM has one institutional strategic partnership) and [redacted] buiten scope to the Executive Board. This preliminary advice has been shared with the respective partner institution, to offer the partner institution leadership the opportunity to respond and share their views. Based on the advice and the response, the Executive Board decided to suspend the institutional strategic partnership with the Israeli partner. For more information, please see here. This decision replaces the one taken by the Executive Board in 2024 to freeze existing institutional strategic partnerships with Israeli partners.

[redacted] 5.1 lid 2 e

Postal address
P.O. Box 616
6200 MD Maastricht
The Netherlands

[redacted]

[redacted]

KvK nr.: 50169181

Maastricht University

I would like to emphasise that the UM HRDD assessment framework is applied to all countries where large-scale violations of human rights and/or international crimes occur, and where our university has institutional strategic partnerships. Following Israel and buiten scope, the advisory committee now continues to assess partnerships in other such countries.

Overall, we are keen to continue the valuable collaborations with our international partners, while trying to ensure that neither UM itself nor our partners contribute to any serious violations of human rights or international crimes.

Yours sincerely,
on behalf of the Executive Board of Maastricht University,

Prof.dr. P. Habibović
Rector

From: 5.1 lid 2 e
To: President's Office (UM)
Cc: 5.1 lid 2 e
Subject: Re: Outcome of Review | Partnership Enspire – Maastricht University
Date: maandag 3 november 2025 09:46:48
Attachments: image001.jpg
image002.jpg
image003.jpg
image004.jpg
image005.jpg
image006.jpg

Dear Prof.dr. Letschert,

Thank you for sharing this outcome. At this point we have no questions about that.

I do wish to share with you that Enspire Science is in the process of moving its HQ to Portugal, and potentially we will continue working with you from there.

Best regards,
5.1 lid 2 e

From: 5.1 lid 2 e
Sent: 31 October 2025 11:06
To: 5.1 lid 2 e
Cc: 5.1 lid 2 e
Subject: Outcome of Review | Partnership Enspire – Maastricht University

Dear 5.1 lid 2 e

Please find attached a letter concerning the outcome of the recently concluded review of our partnership, conducted in the context of Human Rights Due Diligence.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

With very best wishes,

Prof.dr. Rianne Letschert
Bestuursvoorzitter/ President
5.1 lid 2 e

Disclaimer

Please consider your environmental responsibility before printing this e-mail.

5.1 lid 2 e

5.1 lid 2 e

Jerusalem, November 4, 2025

To:
Prof. dr. Rianne Letschert
President
Maastricht University
5.1 lid 2 e

Dear Professor Letschert,

We acknowledge receipt of your letter dated October 31, 2025, purporting to "discontinue the Student Exchange Agreement" between our universities. We find this letter regrettable and highly disappointing.

As we stated in our detailed response of October 6, 2025, the Hebrew University of Jerusalem categorically rejects the deeply flawed methodology, partial findings, and baseless conclusions of your Human Rights Advisory Committee (HRAC). We reject the insinuation that our university is "indirectly involved in serious human rights violations." Your Executive Board's decision to adopt this while continuously failing to meaningfully engage with us and to make these defamatory grounds "publicly available" is a source of profound concern. It also has the potential to cause reputational harm to our institution.

Furthermore, we must inform you that your unilateral decision to "discontinue" the agreement constitutes a clear and material breach of the agreement itself.

While the agreement's initial five-year term was set in 2017, both parties have, by their mutual conduct, continued to operate under and affirm its existence. Indeed, your own communications and reports confirm this. Your HRAC report states the agreement "remained active until it was frozen by the University of Maastricht in spring 2024," and your final decision letter purports to "terminate" this "existing" agreement. Your actions affirm that the agreement remains in force. As such, Maastricht University is bound by all provisions of the agreement. Your latest letter fails to comply with any of the stipulated termination procedures. Your decision is therefore procedurally improper and without contractual effect.

5.1 lid 2 e

5.1 lid 2 e

5.1 lid 2 e

This letter constitutes our formal notice of a dispute "arising out of in connection with the execution or the interpretation of this Agreement." We ask that representatives of our universities meet immediately to "endeavor to settle such dispute amicably by negotiation in good faith" pursuant to article 14 of our agreement (which also contemplates, if needed, dispute resolution through mediation and arbitration).

We must be clear. The Hebrew University of Jerusalem will not accept this improper termination and the accompanying public defamation. We reserve all our rights in this matter.

We trust this will not be necessary and await your prompt reply to schedule this urgent consultation.

5.1 lid 2 e

Hebrew University of Jerusalem

5.1 lid 2 e

To: 5.1 lid 2 e cvb25.0327

EXTRACT from **DECISIONS CONFIDENTIAL CONSIDERATION EXECUTIVE BOARD**
- Meeting on 04/11/2025

When the undermentioned gives cause for making comments, a request for an explanation from and/or a conversation with the Executive Board, please turn to the office of the Executive Board (Griffie CvB).

Re: 13. Advice Human Rights Advisory Committee on partnerships in Israel-Palestine and buiten scope CvB-besluit
- memo MUO/I-O, 30 oktober 2025, C25.02557_RB_MD

In the past academic year, UM has developed and implemented a Human Rights Due Diligence (HRDD) assessment framework and tool to help make an informed assessment of whether, and if so, to what extent, partners with whom we have or enter into a collaboration, are involved in serious human rights violations and/or international crimes. In April 2025, a UM Human Rights Advisory Committee (HRAC) has been established, and the HRAC and Executive Board jointly agreed that the HRAC would start its assessment in a pilot period ending in Q1 2026, starting with an assessment of UM's institutional strategic partnerships in three countries, including Israel/Palestine, buiten scope

In September 2025, the HRAC delivered its preliminary advice on our institutional strategic partners in Israel buiten scope This preliminary advice has been shared with the partners to give them the opportunity to respond; after that, the HRAC has finalised its partner assessment reports. The HRAC has now offered its final advice on institutional strategic partners in Israel buiten scope

The Executive Board noted the memo and decided:
1. on the continuation of UM's Institutional Strategic Partnerships with Hebrew University of Jerusalem in Israel and buiten scope - as specified in Annex 1. Executive Board reaction to advice HRAC;
2. to communicate the decision and argumentation to the partner institutions; to the relevant Deans and UM contact persons; and to publish the Executive Board decision and argumentation (Annexes 1 and 2), the press release (Annex 3) and the HRAC phase 1 (Annex 4 and 5) and 2 (Annex 6 and 7) reports, internally and externally so that the UM community and wider public are informed.
3. to inform the University Council Strategy Committee (5 November) and the University Council Plenary Committee (19 November) orally of the Executive Board decisions, and the Management Team (in its meeting on 17 December).

From: 5.1 lid 2 e
Sent: 11 November 2025 12:26
To: 5.1 lid 2 e
Subject: Attn. Simon Wiesenthal Center | Letter Maastricht University

Dear 5.1 lid 2 e

Following your letter from October 20, I would like to kindly refer you to attached letter on behalf of the Rector Magnificus of Maastricht University, prof. dr. Pamela Habibović.

Kind regards,

5.1 lid 2 e

Maastricht University

5.1 lid 2 e

Maastricht University

[redacted] 5.1 lid 2 e
Simon Wiesenthal Center
[redacted]

Executive Board

your reference	*our reference*	*direct line*	*Maastricht*
	C25.02810_RB-MD		11-11-2025

Subject: Maastricht University Human Rights Due Diligence Framework

Dear [redacted] 5.1 lid 2 e

Thank you for your letter of 20 October 2025 and for sharing your concerns. We appreciate your engagement and the opportunity to clarify the background on this matter.

According to our Global Engagement Policy, Maastricht University (UM) encourages global engagement in teaching, research and capacity and community building as international collaboration is crucial for the quality of our research and teaching and for making a positive contribution to the world we live in. In these collaborations, it is imperative that our standards related to academic freedom and scientific integrity are upheld and that potential ethical and knowledge security risks are mitigated. Regarding human rights violations or large-scale violence, our principles are clear: we do not want our education, research or collaborations to contribute to these violations in any way.

UM's Human Rights Due Diligence (HRDD) assessment framework and tool have been developed to help us make an informed assessment of our institutional strategic partners' involvement in international crimes and/or serious human rights violations.

In the course of 2025, a Human Right Advisory Committee (HRAC) used the HRDD assessment framework to assess UM's institutional strategic partnerships in Israel/Palestine and buiten scope based on the fact that these countries' human rights situations are highlighted as worrisome in the *Today's Armed Conflicts Index* of the Geneva Academy, and on the fact that UM has an institutional strategic partnership there.

Recently, the assessment committee delivered its preliminary advice on Israel (where UM has one institutional strategic partnership) and buiten scope to the Executive Board. This preliminary advice has been shared with the respective partner institution, to offer the partner institution leadership the opportunity to respond and share their views. Based on the advice and the response, the Executive Board decided to suspend the institutional strategic partnership with the Israeli partner. For more information, please see here. This decision replaces the one taken by the Executive Board in 2024 to freeze existing institutional strategic partnerships with Israeli partners.

[redacted] 5.1 lid 2 e
[redacted]

Postal address
P.O. Box 616
6200 MD Maastricht
The Netherlands

[redacted]

www.maastrichtuniversity.nl

KvK nr.: 50169181

Maastricht University

I would like to emphasise that the UM HRDD assessment framework is applied to all countries where large-scale violations of human rights and/or international crimes occur, and where our university has institutional strategic partnerships. Following Israel and buiten scope the advisory committee now continues to assess partnerships in other such countries.

Overall, we are keen to continue the valuable collaborations with our international partners, while trying to ensure that neither UM itself nor our partners contribute to any serious violations of human rights or international crimes.

Yours sincerely,

on behalf of the Executive Board of Maastricht University,

5.1 lid 2 e

Prof.dr. P. Habibović
Rector

[redacted]

[redacted]

Jerusalem, November 16, 2025

To:
Prof. dr. Rianne Letschert
Bestuursvoorzitter/ President
Minderbroedersberg 4-6, 6211 LK Maastricht
P.O. Box 616, 6200 MD Maastricht
The Netherlands

Dear Prof. Letschert,

Re: Partnership HUJI - Maastricht University

Thank you for your letter of 13 Nov. 2025.

We welcome your suggestion to meet and are certainly open to holding this discussion in the "collegial atmosphere" you propose. We agree that such a direct conversation is the best path to settling our differences amicably, as we suggested in our letter of 4 November.

However, we must be candid about the significant contradictions in your position, which leave us puzzled and concerned. You allude in your letter to "good faith considerations", the "good relationship" between our institutions, your preference for adopting an informal tone and your interest in continuing discussions in a "collegiate atmosphere". Yet, your institution has just formally and publicly accused our institution of plausibly contributing to international crimes and serious human rights (!). This false, outrageous and defamatory allegation, produced after a deeply flawed and one-sided process you have undertaken – ignoring our repeated requests to meaningfully participate in the process – bears little if no relationship to the considerations of good faith, good relations, and informal and collegiate atmosphere you write about.

You also mention in your letter that you are giving us, as of 31 Oct. 2025, a formal one-year termination notice. Yet, you announce in the following sentence that you are intent on violating the terms of agreement's termination provisions by refusing to send or accept students within the one year notice period. We find this conduct unacceptable, and a continuation of a pattern of illegal and harmful conduct from your University's side.

[redacted]

5.1 lid 2 e

5.1 lid 2 e

In the interest of resolving these matters, we are keen to hold the meeting you suggest as soon as possible. We propose the agenda focus on two key areas:

- Our expectation that you will remove from the public domain all false and defamatory statements made about our institution.

- Discussing appropriate and mutually agreed-upon modalities for terminating our agreement that are consistent with the contractual provisions that legally bind both our institutions – specifically including the full execution of the one-year notice period.

I look forward to receiving a few proposed dates for this meeting.

With kind regards,

5.1 lid 2 e

President

Maastricht University

To: The Hebrew University of Jerusalem
[redacted] 5.1 lid 2 e
[redacted]
Israel

Per e-mail: [redacted] 5.1 lid 2 e

your reference	*our reference*	*direct line*	*Maastricht*
	C25.02900	[redacted] 5.1 lid 2 e	18 December 2025

Subject: Partnership The Hebrew University of Jerusalem – Maastricht University

Dear [redacted] 5.1 lid 2 e

I would like to begin by stating our appreciation for your patience while we gave this matter careful consideration. Our President, with whom you have corresponded earlier regarding this matter, is, due to unforeseen circumstances, temporarily unavailable for all activities within our organisation. It is for this reason that I, Prof. Dr. Pamela Habibovic, am writing to you.

We fully understand and acknowledge that our position may be disappointing, and we would like to emphasize that it is certainly not our intention to cause any frustration or delay. On the contrary, we consider it important to address this matter expeditiously and believe that a timely and clear response is appropriate. For that reason, we have chosen to set out and explain our position in writing at this stage.

With due understanding for the possible disappointment this may cause, we confirm that our previously communicated position regarding the progression of the cooperation remains unchanged. Please allow me to elaborate on this decision by addressing both of HUJI's agenda points, below.

1. Remove false and defamatory statements

As you are aware the Executive Board has followed the advice of its independent Human Rights Advisory Committee ('Committee') regarding this matter. As far as the Executive Board is concerned, the Committee, after a thorough investigation and based on the information available to it, has in good faith advised that under the current circumstances our cooperation must be discontinued. UM would only see grounds for further engagement once demonstrable steps have been taken, as set out in the decision of the Executive Board which has been previously shared with you.

2. Full execution of the one-year notice period

With regard to the termination and execution of the student exchange agreement, our position that we are compelled to end the cooperation immediately, remains unchanged. The present circumstances prevent us from carrying forward the partnership, even for the one-year notice period, which constitutes a force majeure situation. Sending our students to your institution is not feasible at this time as the Dutch Government has issued negative travel advice for its citizens. In line with its travel policy, UM fully adopts and adheres to the travel advice issued by the Dutch Government. We also note that this advice has implications for insurance coverage, as our insurance does not provide coverage when a travel advisory is negative. Consequently, we are unable to permit our students to travel to Israel at this time. Similarly, in light of the advice provided by our Committee, we consider it inadvisable to have your students come to visit us at this time. We deeply regret any inconvenience this may cause and remain committed to ensuring that this transition is handled with care.

With this letter we hope to have created understanding for our decision and the considerations underlying it. We remain mindful of your situation and the challenges it entails, and we approach this matter with understanding. For the time being, however, we believe it is appropriate to maintain our current course.

Should you require further clarification on our decision, we are happy to organise a meeting to arrange for this.

Yours sincerely,

on behalf of the Executive Board

of Maastricht University,

5.1 lid 2 e

Prof. dr. P. Habibović

Rector Magnificus

Maastricht University

To: The Hebrew University of Jerusalem
Attn. [redacted] 5.1 lid 2 e
[redacted]
Israel

Per e-mail: [redacted] 5.1 lid 2 e [redacted]

your reference	*our reference*	*direct line*	*Maastricht*
	C26.00207	5.1 lid 2 e	15 January 2026

Subject: Partnership The Hebrew University of Jerusalem – Maastricht University

Dear 5.1 lid 2 e

Thank you for your letter dated December 23rd 2025.

We would like to begin by expressing our regret that our correspondence on this matter appears to be taking a direction that does not reflect our intentions. From your response, we understand that the explanation provided in our previous letter may not have come across as meant. With this letter, we therefore wish to reaffirm our commitment to a constructive and collegial dialogue and to lay the groundwork for a personal meeting to discuss our respective views.

We chose to provide a written response at this stage in order to offer you a timely reply and not leave you waiting, even though we continue to believe that an exchange in person would be the most valuable. We remain fully convinced of the added value of such a meeting. Unfortunately, arranging this takes slightly longer due to current circumstances.

In line with the closing remarks of our letter dated 18 December 2025, we would greatly value the opportunity to arrange a meeting between you and our President, Prof. Dr. Rianne Letschert, to continue the meaningful conversation between our universities. As mentioned previously, our President is currently unavailable for activities within our organisation and has had to suspend her mandate until mid-February.

We therefore propose to arrange a digital meeting in mid-February of this year as soon as she returns. Please let us know whether this would be convenient for you, or alternatively suggest other dates that may better suit your schedule.

5.1 lid 2 e [redacted]

Postadres
Postbus 616
6200 MD Maastricht
Nederland

[redacted]

www.maastrichtuniversity.nl

KvK nr.: 50169181

Maastricht University

We trust that these remarks clarify our intentions and help prepare the ground for a constructive dialogue. We remain committed to engaging with you in good faith.

Yours sincerely,

on behalf of the Executive Board

of Maastricht University,

5.1 lid 2 e

Prof. dr. P. Habibović

Rector Magnificus

Maastricht University

President
Hebrew University Jerusalem
Israel

Subject: Invitation for an online meeting to discuss UM-HUJI cooperation

Dear

I hope this E-mail finds you well. Maastricht University (UM) and the Hebrew University of Jerusalem (HUJI) have a long-standing cooperation in the field of student exchange and joint research on the topic of psychology and neuroscience. Regardless of the modest extent of this cooperation (i.e. in terms of the number of students and faculties participating), it has been fruitful and presents mutual added value to our students and researchers.

While we cherish our cooperation, UM and other Dutch universities have been closely following the conflict in Israel and the Gaza Strip. We recognize the significant impact this has on your university and academic community.

As the most international university in the Netherlands, it is our objective to promote and safeguard the freedom of academic cooperation worldwide, to the betterment of our societies. Yet, we also deem it our responsibility to ensure that *none* of our global collaborations, in particular in regions experiencing large-scale conflict, contribute to human rights violations. Given the current global unrest in academia, we are acting with greater urgency and precaution.

For this purpose, I have expedited the development of an assessment framework for human rights violations, as a supplement to our existing framework for knowledge security.

Due to the complexity of the information and the difficulty to establish objective facts, I would like to invite you for a personal conversation to share your views and discuss our role as academic institutions in preventing serious human rights violations in our own countries or abroad and ways to assess this. I would greatly appreciate if this conversation could take place before the summer recess.

Yours sincerely,

on behalf of the Executive Board
of Maastricht University,

Prof.dr. R. Letschert
President

Postal address
P.O. Box 616
6200 MD Maastricht
The Netherlands

www.maastrichtuniversity.nl

KvK nr.: 50169181

Israel partnerships summary

Partner name	Partnership level	Strategic	ISP	Comments
HUJ	Faculty (UM) Department (HUJ)	Yes	Yes	ISP unless we don't include student exchanges; or if we apply faculty criterion to partner as well as UM
Itamar	Institute (CARIM)	Yes	No	Multiple contracts but only an ISP if we include institutes as well as faculty/UM level parternships
5.1 lid 2 i [redacted] Rabin	Institute (CARIM)	No	No	Single research project. Also both parties are suppliers, not direct partners. Also not faculty level.
Enspire	Institute (GROW)	No	No	Assistance with European funding proposals. Consultancy services for UM, not strategic partnership.
Israeli Ministry of Health	SHE (or FHML)	Yes	Yes	Consultancy agreement but across several years to provide training to help modernise curriculum. ISP if faculty-level and possibly also if signed at SHE.
Israeli Council for Higher Education	SHE (or FHML)	No	No	Consultancy agreement to provide one report on faculty modernisation plans

NB ASPHER is a strategic partnership but there are no institutional partnerships with Israeli or Palestinian universities within it.

Enspire – an organisation that helps develop EU funding proposals.
Waiting for more information from 5.1 lid 2 e [redacted]

Rabin Medical Centre

Research collaboration between UM (FHML/ School for Cardiovascular diseases (CARIM)), 5.1 lid 1 c, 5.1 lid 2 f, 5.1 lid 2 i through Rabin Medical Centre on the project [redacted]

CARIM and Rabin both "suppliers"
Patients recruited at RMC, lab work done at UM.

Itamar Medical

Contract 1: General services agreement between UM (FHML/ School for Cardiovascular diseases (CARIM)) and Itamar Medical Ltd (registered in Israel, owned by ZOLL Corporation, which is owned by Asahi Kasei)). Signed 5.1 lid 2 e

Contract 2: Support agreement for clinical study between UM (FHML/ School for Cardiovascular diseases (CARIM)) and Itamar Medical Ltd (registered in Israel, owned by ZOLL Coporation). Signed 5.1 lid 2 e Itamar provides funding for the study "Virtual sleep-disordered breathing ("SDB") management pathway for atrial fibrillation". 5.1 lid 2 i

Contract 3: Congress contract between between UM (FHML/ School for Cardiovascular diseases (CARIM)) and **ZOLL Itamar**, registered in the Netherlands. Signed 5.1 lid 2 e Congress took place June 6-8, 2024 at Hotel Van der Valk Maastricht.

("ZOLL Itamar is an organisation that is at the forefront of medical innovation, committed to advancing the field of non-invasive diagnostic devices for respiratory sleep disorders. With a profound focus on the patient's ease and comfort, ZOLL Itamar pioneers the digital healthcare realm to manage sleep apnea diagnosis effectively." Zoll Itamar is a division of ZOLL® Medical)

Israeli Ministry of Health

Consultancy agreement with SHE providing training to various health faculties in Israel. Unclear whether this would be signed at faculty level but seems an ISP.

Israeli Council for Higher Education

Agreement to provide one report on faculty modernisation plans.