

Rules and Regulations for the Bachelor of Science programme in European Public Health 2022-2023, as referred to in Article 7.12b of the Higher Education and Research Act (*Wet op het Hoger Onderwijs and Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek, WHW*).

These Rules have been determined by the Board of Examiners of the educational programme in European Public Health, Faculty of Health, Medicine and Life Sciences, Maastricht University on 21 April 2022.

Contents

SECTION 1	<u>GENERAL PROVISIONS</u>	2
Article 1.1	Applicability of the Rules and Regulations	2
Article 1.2	Board of examiners	2
Article 1.3	Appointment of examiners	2
Article 1.4	Rules of Procedure for Exams	2
SECTION 2	<u>REGISTRATIONS</u>	2
Article 2.1	Registration of courses	2
Article 2.2	Compensation and resit exams	3
Article 2.3	Exemption	3
Article 2.4	Minor	3
Article 2.5	Placement and bachelor's thesis	4
Article 2.6	Rounding off grades	4
SECTION 3	<u>EXAMS</u>	4
Article 3.1	Course exams	4
Article 3.2	Duration of the exam	5
Article 3.3	Written work	5
Article 3.4	Placement and thesis	5
SECTION 4	<u>EXAMINATION</u>	5
Article 4.1	Degree classification	5
SECTION 5	<u>IRREGULARITIES AND FRAUD WITHIN THE SCOPE OF EXAMINATION</u>	5
Article 5.1	Fraud, including plagiarism	5

SECTION 1 GENERAL PROVISION

Article 1.1 Applicability of the Rules and Regulations

1. These rules and regulations apply to the curriculum and the examinations of the Bachelor's programme in European Public Health.
2. These rules apply to all students who start in the Bachelor's programme in the academic year 2022-2023.
3. The board of examiners lays down rules and regulations annually.

Article 1.2 Board of examiners

The board of examiners is in charge of the bachelor's examination. The board of examiners ensures the proper execution of the regulation on the bachelor's examination and its components, with due regard to the provisions laid down in the Act and the Education and Examination Rules (*EER*) that pertain to the structure and volume of the bachelor's examination of the bachelor programme in European Public Health (EPH) of the Faculty of Health, Medicine and Life Sciences.

Article 1.3 Appointment of examiners

1. The board of examiners appoints examiners who are qualified to hold examinations. Examiners must be members of the permanent academic staff of Maastricht University and they have a task in education in the programme. In exceptional cases, the board of examiners may appoint other members of the scientific staff and experts from outside the programme as examiner.
2. As examiners, members of the scientific staff who are entrusted with providing education of an educational component/course, are responsible for the assessment of the educational component/course.
3. The examiners of the placement and the bachelor thesis are also appointed as examiners by the board of examiners. At least one examiner of the placement and the bachelor thesis has to be a member of the permanent academic staff of Maastricht University and/or Maastricht University Medical Centre. In principle the examiner must have a doctorate but the board of examiners has the power to grant exceptions to this rule.
A PhD candidate who is in the 3rd or 4th year of their doctoral research can be appointed as 1st or 2nd examiner provided that their independence of the other examiner is guaranteed.
4. The board of examiners can decide to withdraw an appointment as examiner in consultation with the line manager or administrative manager, if the examiner does not adhere to laws and regulations or rules of the board of examiners, or if the assessment skills of the examiner (construct, examine and judge) have repeatedly proven to be of insufficient quality.

Article 1.4 Rules of Procedure for Exams

The Rules of Procedure for (Course) Exams at Maastricht University apply to all types of exams (including written exams, collective or oral exams) and to all students, including students with special arrangements. Additional information on the conduct of exams (including digital exams) should also be consulted via the UM Library Resources.

SECTION 2 REGISTRATIONS

Article 2.1 Registration of courses

1. The educational organisation has the power to make a number of educational activities mandatory for each course. All students must have participated in these educational activities.
2. Courses that include tutorials will be registered for completion when the following requirements (subsections 3-9) have been met.
3. Students must be 100% present and actively participate in all tutorials / project groups. Presence and active participation will be registered by the tutor/trainer e.g. The following aspects of active participation can be assessed:

- Contribution to analysing tasks and formulating learning goals;
- Keep one's appointments;
- Contribution to presentations and discussion of findings;
- Acting as a chair person;
- Involvement in the process evaluations with respect to the meetings;
- Contribution in stimulating collaboration in meetings.

a. Presence and participation 75-100%:

The student is allowed to miss maximum 25% of the mandatory meetings under the condition that the student has provided reasons for each mandatory meeting missed. He/she must inform the tutor/trainer of these reasons *before* the meeting starts. If the student does not want to tell the tutor/trainer the reason because of privacy reasons, he/she must contact the study advisor instead of the tutor/trainer before the meeting starts in a similar fashion. In addition, if a student is present but cannot actively participate because of special circumstances, he/she must inform the tutor/trainer before the meeting starts or because of privacy reasons contact the study advisor.

b. Presence and participation 50-75%:

If a student misses more than 25% of the meetings but attended and actively participated in at least 50% of the tutorials, the student must make an appointment with the study advisor. During this confidential appointment, the student must give reasons for their absence in all meetings. The study advisor will request the board of examiners to accept the absence in case of valid reasons. The reasons will not be mentioned. The student must submit a written request to the board of examiners within a week after the relevant course has ended. The board of examiners will finally decide whether the student's absence is an exception or he/she should take the course again. If an exception is granted, the board of examiners can in consultation with the faculty decide on which course elements have to be compensated for.

c. Presence and participation of less than 50%:

If a student misses more than 50% of the meetings, the student will have to take the course again.

d. For students with a sport status who do not meet attendance requirements due to that sport status, the criteria of Article 2.1 sub 3b apply. These students do not need to visit the study advisor.

4. The student must have obtained at least a pass for any written work assigned as part of the relevant course.
5. The student must at least have obtained a pass for the presentation of findings to fellow students and/or others as part of the relevant course.
6. The student must at least have obtained a pass for the training/trainings that are part of the relevant course.
7. If a student has not met a requirement stated under 5-8, the board of examiners may decide that the student will have to resit the relevant component in the next year of study or carry out an alternative assignment. If the student passes the component at that stage or passes the alternative assignment, the component will be registered as completed.
8. For the purpose of assessing a collective piece of work, project and/or presentation, it must be visible which student is responsible for each part. The result of the individual assessment must constitute at least 50% of the final grade.

Article 2.2 Compensation and resit exams

1. In the first course year, the following compensation rules apply to the 4 main content courses EPH1021, EPH1022, EPH1024 and EPH1025: a grade '5.0' for an exam can be compensated once with two sevens or one eight or higher for (one) other exam of the main content courses. This compensation is only possible if the student meets the requirements for admission to the 2nd year after completing the compensation.
2. A fail for the tests of the Methodology (period 3), Statistics (period 6) and the SCL courses cannot be compensated.
3. For courses for which the final mark consists of partial results, the following applies: the partial result for the individual test must be at least a 5.0 (not rounded) in order to be eligible for compensation. The other elements must be at least a 5.50 but will be disregarded further. The final mark will be a 5.0 with a corresponding note of "compensation" made in the transcript;
4. When a student meets the conditions for compensation, they can inform the board of examiners which course test they want to compensate. A compensation, once granted, is irrevocable.

Article 2.3 Exemption

In the event that a student is granted an exemption, this will be registered as 'exemption'.

Article 2.4 Minor

The selection of components for a minor outside the faculty requires the approval of the board of examiners or an authority designated by the board of examiners to make such approvals.

In any case a proposed elective may not be substantively similar to components of the major programme and all components must be of an academic level. The requirements for the minor are published on the intranet at Maastricht University.

Article 2.5 Placement and bachelor's thesis

1. The thesis will be graded by two examiners. The first examiner (the principal examiner) grades the content of the thesis and also the process of writing/placement. The second examiner grades only the content of the thesis. Only one figure after the comma is allowed.
2. The unweighted mean of both grades for the content of the thesis is computed.
3. In order to compute the final grade for the thesis, the mean grade of the content and the grade for the process/placement will be determined. The weights will be published before the start of year 3.
4. All grades must be at least 6.0 to pass the thesis.
5. In case of a large discrepancy between the grades of the two examiners for the content (i.e. 2 points or more), a student who feels treated unfairly can file an appeal to the board of examiners to have a third independent examiner grade the thesis. If the board of examiners thinks the appeal is well-founded and agrees with the appeal, the final grade for the 'content' of the thesis will be the average of the grades of the three examiners.
6. A student can only request a 3rd examiner when the grades of the 1st and 2nd examiner are sufficient.

Article 2.6 Rounding off grades

1. In appropriate cases, grades are rounded off as follows, unless stated otherwise: a grade of '.50' or more is rounded up, and a grade of less than '.50' will be rounded down.
2. If the grade for an exam is calculated by combining grades for different components of the exam, the grades of the different components should not be rounded off, before the overall grade has been calculated. A partial grade may only have one decimal place.
3. The final grade for the thesis will not be rounded off.

SECTION 3 EXAMS

Article 3.1 Course exams

1. The instructions regarding the contents, form and assessment criteria for course exams are published in the assessment plan. The assessment plan will be published in Canvas before the start of the course.
2. In principle, course exams are written exams; the board of examiners has the power to decide on or permit a different method of examination. The exams consist of closed questions, open questions, assignments, written work and/or a presentation (including hand out), or a combination of these methods.
3. The assessment of an examination with closed questions is determined on the basis of the level of difficulty of the test by means of the Cohen-Schotanus method as follows:
 - The maximum obtainable score is awarded a grade of 10.
 - The minimum passing grade of 5.5 is calculated as follows:
 - for 100 or more students, the grade of 5.5 is equal to 70% of the 95th percentile of the obtained scores
 - for 50 or more but fewer than 100 students, the grade of 5.5 is equal to 70% of the average of the 10% best scores
 - for fewer than 50 students the grade of 5.5 is equal to 60% of the maximum obtainable score
 - On the basis of a straight line through these two points the final grade will be calculated on a scale of 1 - 10.
4. For the assessment of an examination with open questions the grade of 5.5 is equal to 55% of the maximum obtainable score.

5. For the assessment of an examination with a mix of open and closed questions, in principle the Cohen-Schotanus method will be used as stated in 3.1.3. The board of examiners can decide to deviate from the use of the Cohen-Schotanus method based on the blue-print of the course exam or in consultation with the course coordinator.
6. In case of a resit for a trajectory exam the standardization of the passing grade is equal to the standardization of the passing grade of the regular end examination.
7. If the percentage of failed exam scripts exceeds 40% of the total number of students, the course coordinator consults the board of examiners in order to determine whether there are grounds for adjusting the absolute norm. Such grounds may include: the scores of previous years and the level of difficulty of the exam.
8. In the event that, in addition to the course exam at the end, the course is partly assessed by means of a collective assessment; the weighted average of both assessments constitutes the final grade for the course; the result of the individual components of the examination must constitute at least 50% of the final grade for the course.

Article 3.2 Duration of the exam

The time allowed for taking a course exam is a maximum of three hours. The board of examiners has the power to grant an extension for taking an exam if a student has a functional disorder.

Article 3.3 Written work

1. The requirements with regard to written work are announced in the student portal at the start of the semester. These requirements include the obligation to submit written work electronically via the student portal.
2. If a student obtains an insufficient grade for written work with feedback from the corrector, a resit of that written work cannot be graded higher than a grade '6' or a pass.
3. If a student hands in the written work for the first time during the resit, that written work cannot be graded higher than a grade '6' or a pass.

Article 3.4 Placement and thesis

The regulations with regard to the placement are published in the student portal: Information and rules on placement for Bachelor of Science in European Public Health, Maastricht University.

The regulations with regard to the bachelor's thesis are published in the student portal: Guidelines for Theses, Bachelor of Science in European Public Health, Maastricht University.

SECTION 4 EXAMINATION

Article 4 Degree classification

The student is awarded the bachelor's degree with distinction ('Cum Laude') if they have met the following requirements:

1. courses: for all courses (including the semester papers and the trajectory tests, with the exception of the placement and the thesis) an average grade (not rounded off) of '8.0' or higher;
2. a minimum grade of '6' for all courses;
3. the grades of the courses in the minor period will be left out of consideration;
4. the courses registered with 'exemption' will not be taken into account;
5. Bachelor's thesis and placement: a minimum grade of '8.0' or higher.

SECTION 5 IRREGULARITIES AND FRAUD WITHIN THE SCOPE OF EXAMINATION

Article 5.1 Fraud, including plagiarism

The General FHML/UM-Regulation for Fraud and Irregularities, made by the three boards of examiners of the faculty, will be applied. In these regulations the definition of fraud and plagiarism will be elaborated, as well as

the sanctions the board of examiners can impose. The regulations will published in the student portal at the beginning of the academic year.