

Programme Site Visit Midterm Review Research

Thursday 2 April 2026

Location: Kapoenstraat 2, room 0.009

9.00 hours

Opening

Anke Moerland (vice dean research), Ronald Janse (dean)

9.15 hours

How to assess research quality

Short introductions: Niels Philipsen (IGIR), Eva van Vugt (MOSAR)

Panellists: Marta Pertegas (MEPLI), Astrid Bastiaens (PhD)

Questions

- How should a formal policy on assessing research quality look like? Which elements should a formal policy contain?
- In how far is a list of standards and indicators perceived as effective in fostering good research at our Faculty? Or should a policy mainly focus on the structures we have to support researchers in making independent choices?
- What do we want the selection committee for PhD proposals to assess and in which form is that possible? How can a specialist view when assessing quality of research be guaranteed?
- Are there any other factors that inhibit researchers from carrying out high quality research?

10.45 hours

Break (1.018)

11.15 hours

Open Science

Short introductions: Gijs van Dijck (Law&Tech), Bram Akkermans (MOSAR, MEPLI)

Panellists: Konrad Kollnig (Law&Tech), Mariolina Eliantonio (GLAW-net)

Questions

- How can the Faculty incentivize colleagues to publish open access, including textbooks?
- How do we balance the wish to have societal impact (through professional outlets) with open science principles?
- What quality parameters do we expect and hence should we check for (diamond) open access publishers?

- Do we need additional advisory and administrative support (in addition to the Academic Information Committee) to coordinate awareness raising, training and support for staff?

12.15 hours Break and lunch (1.018)

13.15 hours **Programming and Organization**
Short introductions: Roland Pierik (MCJL), Christina Peristeridou (MICS)
Panellists: Monica Claes (MCEL), Daniel On (MEPLI)

Questions

- What is the main goal of a Faculty research programme?
- Should the research programme focus more on the type of research and values that we aim for?
- How we can best describe what binds us?
- How can the faculty best support the availability of information about what researchers do in order to enhance possible synergies among institutes and groups?

14.15 hours Break (1.018)

14.45 hours **Impact**
Short introductions: Lilian Tsourdi (MCEL), Sjoerd Claessens (MILE)
Panellists: Pim Mertens (ITEM), Kasper Dziurdz (MCT)

Questions

- How can faculty policy reinforce the importance of curiosity-driven research as well as the wish to make our research insightful for different stakeholders and contribute to solving current societal problems?
- Do we need a faculty policy on scientific and societal impact?
- How can the Faculty, research institutes and groups and individual researchers make more visible to relevant regional, national and international stakeholders who we are and what research we carry out on which topics?
- Which skills do our researchers need to entertain the relevant networks, contribute to public debates, provide professional trainings, carry out commissioned research?
- How can the Faculty facilitate these skills?

16.15 hours Break (1.018)

16.45 hours **First impressions reviewers**

17.00 hours Drinks at Law-Café (A0.010)