

Conference Law, Science and Rationality - April 2018

(Faculty of Law, Maastricht University, Bouillonstraat 1-3)

Thursday April 5th

09:00 – 09:30	Registration	
09:30 – 09:35	Opening words by Jan Smits (dean) (Statenzaal)	
09:35 – 09:45	Opening words by David Roef (Statenzaal)	
09:45 – 10:45	Keynote by Maureen Sie “Pragmatic Sentimentalism: How to understand Contemporary Scientific Challenges to Free Will” (Statenzaal)	
10:45 – 11:15	<i>Coffee break</i> (Lenculenthal)	
11:15 – 12:00	Federica Coppola “Bringing (the right) feelings back: culpability, punishment and violence in the era of social and affective neuroscience” (Statenzaal)	
	Theme: Legal concepts in light of (neuro-)science	Theme: Diminished capacity/insanity
12:00 – 12:45	Jaap Hage “Rationality and autonomy in law” (Statenzaal)	Paul Catley “Personality change and criminal responsibility” (B0.118)
12:45 – 14:15	<i>Lunch</i> (Lenculenthal)	
14:15 – 15:00	Lisa Claydon “Coercion changes sense of agency?” (Statenzaal)	Anna Goldberg “Addiction, irrationality and lack of control. How can science of addiction inform us on the criminal responsibility of addicted offenders?” (B0.118)
15:00 – 15:45	Antonia Waltermann “Why non-human agency?” (Statenzaal)	Marieke Hopman & Dorris deVocht “You are a child if the judge says you are. Criminal responsibility of children in the Netherlands.” (B0.118)
15:45 – 16:15	<i>Tea break</i> (Lenculenthal)	
16:15 – 17:00	Gerben Meynen “The relevance of free will and rationality for legal insanity” (Statenzaal)	
	<i>Speaker's dinner</i>	

Conference Law, Science and Rationality - April 2018

(Faculty of Law, Maastricht University, Bouillonstraat 1-3)

Friday April 6th

09:45 – 10:45	<p>Keynote by Nicole Vincent “Diachronic capacitarian compatibilism: on responsibility, capacity, and fairness” (Statenzaal)</p>	
10:45 – 11:15	<p><i>Coffee break</i> (Lenculenhall)</p>	
	Theme: Legal decision-making	Theme: Legal concepts in light of (neuro)science
11:15 – 12:00	<p>David Roef “The ghost of Descartes in law and neuroscience. How neo-Caresian thinking misguides the neurolaw debate on human agency.” (Statenzaal)</p>	
12:00 – 12:45	<p>Frans Leeuw & Ana Pendes “Evaluating legal arrangements. Theories about mechanisms and the relevance of social neurosciences” (Statenzaal)</p>	<p>Jan Christoph Bublitz “Implications of cognitive science for the law and legitimacy: lessons from three case studies” (B0.118)</p>
12:45 – 14:15	<p><i>Lunch</i></p>	
14:15 – 15:00	<p>Piotr Bystranowski et al “Judicial rationality, debiasing and the limits of discretion: the legal-philosophical assessment of strategies for improving the consistency of numerical legal judgments” (Statenzaal)</p>	<p>Sjors Ligthart “Neuro-tests and forensic evaluations of criminal responsibility: a legal perspective” (B0.118)</p>
15:00 – 15:45	<p>Anne Ruth Mackor “Rationality in legal decision-making about proof of facts in criminal law, The nature of coherence and its role in the scenario theory” (Statenzaal)</p>	<p>Michal Araszkievicz “Participation in legal discourse as an adaptive behaviour” (B0.118)</p>
15:45 – 16:15	<p><i>Tea break</i> (Lenculenhall)</p>	
16:15 – 17:00	<p>Niek Strohmaier “Hindsight bias in legal judgements: on the role of free will and sleep deprivation”</p>	
17:00	<p>Closing, followed by drinks</p>	