

# Conference Law, Science and Rationality - April 2018

(Faculty of Law, Maastricht University, Bouillonstraat 1-3)

Thursday April 5<sup>th</sup>

09:00 – 09:30	Registration	
09:30 – 09:35	Opening words by <b>Jan Smits</b> (dean) (Statenzaal)	
09:35 – 09:45	Opening words by <b>David Roef</b> (Statenzaal)	
09:45 – 10:45	Keynote by <b>Maureen Sie</b> “Pragmatic Sentimentalism: How to understand Contemporary Scientific Challenges to Free Will” (Statenzaal)	
10:45 – 11:15	<i>Coffee break</i> (Lenculenhall)	
11:15 – 12:00	<b>Federica Coppola</b> “Bringing (the right) feelings back: culpability, punishment and violence in the era of social and affective neuroscience” (Statenzaal)	
	<b>Theme:</b> Legal concepts in light of (neuro-)science	<b>Theme:</b> Diminished capacity/insanity
12:00 – 12:45	<b>Jaap Hage</b> “Rationality and autonomy in law” (Statenzaal)	<b>Paul Catley</b> “Personality change and criminal responsibility” (B0.118)
12:45 – 14:15	<i>Lunch</i> (Lenculenhall)	
14:15 – 15:00	<b>Lisa Claydon</b> “Coercion changes sense of agency?” (Statenzaal)	<b>Anna Goldberg</b> “Addiction, irrationality and lack of control. How can science of addiction inform us on the criminal responsibility of addicted offenders?” (B0.118)
15:00 – 15:45	<b>Antonia Waltermann</b> “Why non-human agency?” (Statenzaal)	<b>Marieke Hopman &amp; Dorris deVocht</b> “You are a child if the judge says you are. Criminal responsibility of children in the Netherlands.” (B0.118)
15:45 – 16:15	<i>Tea break</i> (Lenculenhall)	
16:15 – 17:00	<b>Gerben Meynen</b> “The relevance of free will and rationality for legal insanity” (Statenzaal)	
	<i>Speaker's dinner</i>	

# Conference Law, Science and Rationality - April 2018

(Faculty of Law, Maastricht University, Bouillonstraat 1-3)

Friday April 6<sup>th</sup>

09:45 – 10:45	Keynote by <b>Nicole Vincent</b> “Diachronic capacitarian compatibilism: on responsibility, capacity, and fairness” (Statenzaal)	
10:45 – 11:15	<i>Coffee break</i> (Lenculenhall)	
	<b>Theme:</b> Legal decision-making	<b>Theme:</b> Legal concepts in light of (neuro)science
11:15 – 12:00	<b>Bipin Indurkha</b> “On modelling cognitive and affective factors in legal decision making” (Statenzaal)	<b>David Roef</b> “The ghost of Descartes in law and neuroscience. How neo-Carelian thinking misguides the neurolaw debate on human agency.” (B0.118)
12:00 – 12:45	<b>Frans Leeuw &amp; Ana Pendes</b> “Evaluating legal arrangements. Theories about mechanisms and the relevance of social neurosciences” (Statenzaal)	<b>Jan Christoph Bublitz</b> “Implications of cognitive science for the law and legitimacy: lessons from three case studies” (B0.118)
12:45 – 14:15	<i>Lunch</i>	
14:15 – 15:00	<b>Piotr Bystranowski et al</b> “Judicial rationality, debiasing and the limits of discretion: the legal-philosophical assessment of strategies for improving the consistency of numerical legal judgments” (Statenzaal)	<b>Sjors Ligthart</b> “Neuro-tests and forensic evaluations of criminal responsibility: a legal perspective” (B0.118)
15:00 – 15:45	<b>Anne Ruth Mackor</b> “Rationality in legal decision-making about proof of facts in criminal law, The nature of coherence and its role in the scenario theory” (Statenzaal)	<b>Michal Araszkievicz</b> “Participation in legal discourse as an adaptive behaviour” (B0.118)
15:45 – 16:15	<i>Tea break</i> (Lenculenhall)	
16:15 – 17:00	<b>Niek Strohmaier</b> “Hindsight bias in legal judgements: on the role of free will and sleep deprivation”	
17:00	Closing, followed by drinks	